

PRECIPITATION AND DROUGHT FREQUENCIES FOR SOUTHWESTERN
KANSAS AS RELATED TO VARIOUS CROP WATER USE RATES

by

RICHARD AUGUST SCHLEUSENER

B. S., University of Nebraska, 1949

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Agricultural Engineering

KANSAS STATE COLLEGE
OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

1956

LD
2668
T4
1956
S34
c 2

11

Document

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE	3
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
MATERIAL AND METHODS	6
Measures of Drought Intensity	6
The "Bank Account Procedure "	7
Area and Stations Selected for Study.	8
Frequency Analysis	9
Water Use Rates	12
Assumptions Made in Counting Dry Days	12
Sample Calculations Illustrating Counting of Dry Days	16
Data Available after Completion of Dry Days Count . .	16
Method of Combining Data into Frequency Analysis of Dry Days.	16
Method of Dividing Southwestern Kansas into Sections of Similar Rainfall Characteristics for Grouping of Data for Greater Statistical Validity	17
The Problem.	17
Discussion	17
Method	17
Results.	18
Combination of Single Station Dry Days Frequency Data into Frequency Data for Western, Central, and Eastern Sections of Southwestern Kansas	19
Data Available after Combination of Dry Days Frequency Data by Sections.	19
BODY	20

Analysis of Dry Days Frequency Data for Single Stations	20
Analysis of Dry Days Frequency by Sections	21
DISCUSSION: UTILIZATION OF DATA.	21
General	21
Example 1. Making an Estimate of Irrigation Requirements on a Probability Basis When the Crop Consumptive Use Can be Estimated.	22
Example 2. Making an Estimate of the Probability that Natural Precipitation Would Supply the Water that Could be Applied by Winter Irrigation	24
Example 3. Making an Estimate on a Probability Basis of Water Requirements for Winter Irrigation.	24
Example 4. An Evaluation of the Drought Hazard to Dry Land Crops	27
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY	28
Correlation of Dry Days to Average Monthly Precipitation and Application to Other Areas.	28
Relation of Irrigation Equipment Design to Dry Days Frequency.	29
SUMMARY OF RESULTS	29
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	31
REFERENCES	32
APPENDICES	36
Appendix A. Definitions	37
Appendix B. Sample Calculations and Procedures	38
B-1. Sample Calculations Illustrating Method of Counting Dry Days	38
B-2. Garden City Precipitation Amounts, 1930.	41
B-3. Sample Calculations Illustrating the Method Used in Combining Data from Single Stations into an Array for Frequency Analysis	42

B-4 Sample Calculations Showing Procedure for Combination of Single Station Dry Days Frequency Analyses into Sectional Dry Days Frequency Analysis	43
---	----

Appendix C. Figures.	45
------------------------------	----

Figures 1 through 40. Dry Days Frequency for
Individual Stations.

- 1 - 4. Dry days frequency for Cimmaron.
Water use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30
inch per day.
- 5 - 8. Dry days frequency for Dodge City.
Water use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30
inch per day.
- 9 -12. Dry days frequency for Garden City.
Water use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30
inch per day.
- 13-16. Dry days frequency for Healy. Water
use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30
inch per day.
- 17-20. Dry days frequency for Johnson. Water
use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30
inch per day.
- 21-24. Dry days frequency for Liberal. Water
use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30 inch
per day.
- 25-28. Dry days frequency for Richfield. Water
use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30 inch
per day.
- 29-32. Dry days frequency for Scott City.
Water use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30
inch per day.
- 33-36. Dry days frequency for Sublette. Water
use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30 inch
per day.
- 37-40. Dry days frequency for Tribune. Water
use rates .05, .10, .20, and .30 inch
per day.

- 41-47. Dry days frequency for eastern section of Southwestern Kansas, for months of April through October. Data compiled from following stations: Cimmaron, Dodge City, Healy, and Scott City.
- 48-54. Dry days frequency for central section of southwestern Kansas, for months of April through October. Data compiled from following stations: Garden City, Liberal, and Sublette.
- 55-61. Dry days frequency for western section of southwestern Kansas, for months of April through October. Data compiled from following stations: Johnson, Richfield, and Tribune.
- 62. Analysis of Southwestern Kansas for areas of similar rainfall characteristics.

INTRODUCTION

The frequency and quantity of precipitation, a matter of extreme importance to all midwestern states, is of particular importance to the agricultural economy of western Kansas. Efforts to find predictable cycles in annual precipitation amounts have led to considerable speculation as to whether or not identifiable nonseasonal precipitation cycles actually exist. According to Kincer (28), some 138 had been proposed up to 1928, with lengths ranging from short periods of time up to as high as 260 years.¹ This wide range suggests that the causes for variation in annual precipitation amounts lie obscured in a variety of independent variable factors. These circumstances point out the fact that in the absence of cycles which can be measured, explained, and predicted, any study of precipitation amounts must, of necessity, be conducted as though precipitation were the result of chance.

Variability of precipitation amounts is present to a greater degree in seasonal than in annual amounts. Allis (2) aptly describes the precipitation variability with this statement that

It has been said that the Great Plains can expect at least one gully washer and one drought each year... The extreme variability in rainfall conditions constitutes a serious problem to agriculture in the Great Plains.

¹Numbers refer to appended references

This variability in the amount of seasonal and annual precipitation points out the weakness of the mean, or average value, as a measure of the precipitation to be expected within a given time interval. Despite the fact that the mean, or average value, is the most commonly used measure of precipitation to be expected, it is an undesirable measure of precipitation to be expected at any future date because of the inherent variability of precipitation amounts. This fact leads to the conclusion that a more suitable measure of precipitation, both annual and seasonal, would be a frequency array, giving instead of a mean value, the probability of a given amount of precipitation.

The variability of precipitation and the frequency with which it has been insufficient to meet the water needs of growing crops, has led to the development of a considerable number of irrigation systems, particularly in the southwestern part of Kansas. (17, 24, 25) Information relating crop water needs to precipitation amounts available for crop use, on a frequency distribution basis, would assist designers and operators of irrigation systems in estimating irrigation requirements. The same information would be useful to the dry land farmer, in making an estimate of the drought hazard to his enterprise.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to relate various crop water use rates to precipitation and drought frequency in southwestern Kansas through a frequency distribution statistical analysis.

The results of the study are intended to provide climatological information, on a frequency distribution basis, in a form suitable for making estimates of water requirements of crops when the consumptive use is known, or can be estimated.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The basic data used in this study were taken from precipitation records prepared and summarized by the U. S. Weather Bureau, in their reports, "Climatological Data - Kansas Section". (10) Studies on the hydrological aspects of Kansas weather records are summarized by the University of Kansas bulletin (34), Notes of the Hydrology of Kansas. In this publication the probability of given amounts of monthly and annual precipitation are presented. A detailed study of Kansas climate is given in the Report of the Kansas State Board of Agriculture. (9)

More generalized aspects of climatology affecting the Kansas region are given by Haurwitz and Austin (20), in a manner that emphasizes the synoptic patterns of the meteorological elements that produce the climate experienced in the

region by describing the distribution and variation of meteorological elements in terms of frontal and air-mass concepts. Appended plates in Haurwitz and Austin's text (20) give mean values of critical meteorological elements which define the average climate of the globe. The physical processes by which these elements produce precipitation, as well as other meteorological processes, are given by Willet (43).

Sub-normal precipitation periods, or droughts, are discussed by W. G. Hoyt, (23, Chapter XII), J. C. Hoyt, (21), and others (23, p. 591). Barger and Thom (4) evaluated the drought hazard through a statistical study of precipitation amounts and frequencies throughout a crop growing season. The variability of precipitation in the Great Plains area is stressed by Allis (2).

In efforts to provide irrigation water for crops to compensate for the frequent drought periods, irrigation by pumping began in southwestern Kansas as early as 1888 (17). Recommendations for pumping equipment were published as early as 1920 by the Kansas State Board of Agriculture, Division of Irrigation (24). A later report from the same source (17) gives a history of the development of irrigation in one county of southwestern Kansas.

The relation of drought periods in Kansas to supplemental irrigation was studied during the drought period of the 1930's by Hulburt (22). The expansion of irrigation practices into

the period of 1947-48 was summarized by the Kansas State College Extension Service (25).

Early reports on water requirements of crops were published as early as 1907 by Fortier (14). Later data published by the same author gave information on irrigation requirements in the Arkansas River Basin (13). More recent information has been included in estimates of crop water requirements by Israelsen (27, pp 295-316). Blaney and Criddle (5 and 6) developed a method for estimating water requirements in irrigated areas from climatological data. The method developed by Blaney and Criddle has been utilized by Hanson and Meyer to make estimates of irrigation requirements for various crops in Kansas. (19)

Climatological data and precipitation data utilized by these investigators has been summarized by average values. The weakness of the average as a measure of precipitation, is pointed out by Foster, (15, p. 106) and emphasized by Dingle (12), in the following words:

...One of the basic concepts which has been contributed by statistical science is that which points out the meaninglessness of a simple mean in the absence of the frequency distribution from which it is derived. It need not be emphasized that for agricultural purposes, simple monthly means of precipitation and temperature are of no great value. But if the mean from a given period is supplemented by some information about the frequency of occurrence of specific ranges of temperature and/or precipitation over a given more or less homogeneous area, some useful information is immediately forthcoming. From the frequency distributions the probability of occurrence of specified precipitation amount and/or temperature can be computed. By the introduction of the time element, duration frequencies can be established and used in a similar way. For example, the probability of a

15 day drought occurring during the month of June over any specified region can be determined from the duration-frequency distribution of periods with negligible amounts of rain. This, then, is approaching the point at which a farmer's weather risk can be computed for a specified crop.

The need for a more satisfactory presentation through a frequency array and analysis is presented by Rouse (36).

In efforts to relate precipitation data to water requirements of crops, Barger and Thom (3) studied the correlation of precipitation to corn yields to obtain a measure of drought intensity.¹ Hulburt (22) studied drought periods in Kansas through an arbitrary index of "Effective Rainfall", and reported on the frequency of times in 20 years in which monthly rainfall amounts were less than one-half the average amounts.

Information relating various crop water use rates to precipitation and drought frequency in southwestern Kansas through a frequency distribution statistical analysis is presented in this thesis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Measures of Drought Intensity

In efforts to study the magnitude and frequency of drought periods, various measures of drought intensity, and varying definitions of droughts have been proposed. Drought studies

¹Water requirements defined as in Appendix A.

undertaken by Hoyt after the severe droughts of the 1930's, showed drought conditions whenever there was an annual deficiency in precipitation of 15 percent or more (Hoyt, 21). Other investigators have proposed an index of 75 percent of normal annual precipitation; also an index of 4 month droughts in which precipitation during each month was less than 60 percent of normal precipitation for that month (35).

Other studies (23, p 584) show that annual precipitation has been less than the needs for evaporation and transpiration approximately 20 percent of the time in eastern Kansas, and more than 90 percent of the time in extreme western Kansas.

For the purpose of this study, Hoyt's (21) definition of a drought is accepted: "Drought conditions may be said to prevail whenever precipitation is insufficient to meet the needs of established human activities." Applying Hoyt's definition of drought to the production of agricultural crops, a drought condition may be said to exist whenever there is not sufficient precipitation to maintain satisfactory plant growth.

The "Bank Account Procedure"

Other investigators (30 and 40) have applied evapo-transpiration estimates to a "bank account procedure" to determine the time for irrigation.¹ The explanation of this

¹Evapo-transpiration defined as in Appendix A.

system is described by von Bavel and Wilson (40) as follows:

...if the quantities of water added to the soil were known, and if the total daily evapo-transpiration amounts were known, a simple bank account procedure could be used to determine the readily available supply of water in the soil at any time. When this supply appears to be reduced to zero, or nearly so, the need for irrigation is indicated.

Allred and Chen (1) applied a similar procedure to an evaluation of irrigation needs in the Minnesota region. Their procedure included a method of computing daily moisture deficiency through utilization of IBM punched cards. The basis for the computation was a daily balancing of crop water use against available moisture in the root zone.

This "bank account procedure" was applied in this study. Daily water use rates of .05, .10, .20, and .30 inch per day were assumed, and a "bank account procedure" was applied to daily precipitation records for ten stations in southwestern Kansas, for thirty years of record from each station. Through this "bank account procedure" the number of "dry days" were determined for each water use rate for each of the 30 years of record, 1923 through 1952.¹

Areas and Stations Selected for Study

The southwestern section of Kansas was selected for study because of the concentration of irrigation enterprises in that section of the state. A 1948 survey (25) showed approxi-

¹Dry days defined as in Appendix A.

mately 90 percent of all irrigated acres in Kansas within the southwestern section of the state. Between 1948 and 1954 the total number of acres under irrigation in Kansas nearly doubled but the nineteen southwest counties still had about 80 percent of the total irrigated acreage. (41, p. 53)

Stations within the area were selected to give as uniform coverage as possible of the southwestern section, including the following counties: Finney, Ford, Grant, Gray, Greeley, Hamilton, Haskell, Kearny, Lane, Meade, Morton, Scott, Seward, Stanton, Stevens, and Wichita. Stations within this area were selected for study on the basis of adequate length of record and uniform coverage of the area. The ten stations selected were: Cimmaron, Dodge City, Garden City, Healy, Johnson, Liberal, Richfield, Scott City, Sublette, and Tribune.

The area of Southwestern Kansas selected for study, and the stations from which precipitation records were analyzed, are shown on Plate I.

Frequency Analysis

A frequency analysis was made of the dry days data obtained by the bank account procedure described above.¹


The result was to give the percentage probability of having a given number of dry days per month for each station for each month for each applicable water use rate. Individual stations

¹Dry days defined as in Appendix A. Throughout this thesis, the term "dry days" will be used in the sense as defined in Appendix A.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE I

Map showing the area in southwestern Kansas selected for study, and the stations within the area from which precipitation records were analyzed.

PLATE I



were later combined to give a similar frequency analysis of dry days for sections of southwestern Kansas in an attempt to give greater statistical validity to the study by combining stations within a section to obtain a greater number of station years of record.

Water Use Rates

The water use rates of .05, .10, .20, and .30 inch per day were used in an attempt to "bracket" the water use rates of any crops grown within the southwestern Kansas area. Rates used for each month are given in Table 1. It will be noted from Table 1 that dry days frequency for all four water use rates was not determined for each month. The lower use rates of .05 and .10 inch per day were determined for the spring and fall seasons, while the higher use rates of .10, .20, and .30 inch per day were computed for the summer months. This procedure was followed in an effort to simulate the higher water use rates that occur during the summer months.

Assumptions Made in Counting Dry Days

Following are the assumptions made in counting dry days.

1. Daily precipitation amounts were rounded off to the nearest .05 inch for the water use rates of .05 inch per day; to the nearest .10 inch for the water use rate of .10 inch per day; to the nearest .20 inch for the water use rate of .20 inch per day; and to the nearest .30 inch for the water use rate of .30 inch per day .

Table 1. Crop daily water use rates used in dry days frequency study.

Month	:	Rates Used, inch per day		
April	.05	.10		
May	.05	.10	.20	
June		.10	.20	.30
July		.10	.20	.30
August		.10	.20	.30
September	.05	.10		
October	.05	.10		

2. The assumption was made that not more than 2.00 inches of precipitation would be credited to the "soil moisture account" in any 24 hour period. This assumes that amounts in excess of 2.00 inches within a 24 hour period would be lost as runoff in high intensity rains typical of the summer time thunderstorm precipitation of the southwestern Kansas area. Analysis of the diurnal precipitation distribution (9, p. 56) for Dodge City, typical of the southwestern Kansas area, shows a distinct precipitation maximum in early evening for the summer season, and, to a lesser extent, for the spring and fall seasons. This is also in agreement with Willet's observation (Willet, 43, p. 161-2) that

...The effect (of the summertime circulation over the United States) is to increase the rainfall significantly in most sections east of the continental divide...

(and cause)...widespread occurrence of thunderstorms and heavy local convective rains due to the continental heating of the moist air.

3. The assumption was made that not more than 12.0 inches of water could be credited to the "soil moisture account" at any one time. This represents (Israelsen, 27, p. 216) an extreme upper limit of available water that may be stored in a medium to heavy textured soil for a root zone of six feet.

4. The assumption was made that 50 percent of the precipitation from the months of November through March was lost to the "soil moisture account" through evaporation. Therefore soil moisture stored in the "soil moisture account" as of April 1 of each year was assumed to be 50 percent of the total precipitation for the months of November through March, plus the carryover of soil moisture from 31 October of the previous year at the 0.10 inch per day water use rate.

5. The assumption was made that the soil moisture stored in the "soil moisture account" as of the first of each month from May through October was that quantity of soil moisture remaining at the end of the previous month. Table 2 illustrates the method used in determining the amounts of soil moisture available at the beginning of any month for any water use rate.

6. The assumption was made that water used by crops on any day was subtracted from precipitation that fell during that day, with the balance of the precipitation, if any, stored in the "soil moisture account". Thus, at a water use rate of

0.05 inch per day, if there were no moisture stored in the "soil moisture account", and 0.50 inch of rain fell on the first of the month and none for the rest of the month, the first dry day would be the 11th of the month, and there would be a total of 20 dry days in the month (30 day).

Table 2. Data used in determining soil moisture available at the beginning of each month of the growing season.

At beginning of month of	Considering a use rate of (in. per day)	The available moisture was <u>determined from carry-over</u>	
		From the end of the month of	At water use rate of (in. per day)
May	.05	April	.05
May	.10	April	.10
May	.20	April	.10
June	.05	May	.05
June	.10	May	.10
June	.20	May	.20
June	.30	May	.20
July	.10	June	.10
July	.20	June	.20
July	.30	June	.30
August	.10	July	.10
August	.20	July	.20
August	.30	July	.30
September	.05	August	.10
September	.10	August	.10
October	.05	September	.05
October	.10	September	.10

Sample Calculations Illustrating Counting of Dry Days

Sample calculations illustrating the method used in counting dry days are given in Appendix B-1 and B-2.

Data Available After Completion of Dry Days Count

After completion of the count of dry days, as described above, the number of dry days for each of the months, April through October, at the water use rates indicated, .05 to .30 inch per day, for each of the 30 years of record for each of the ten stations, was available.

Method of Combining Data into Frequency Analysis of Dry Days

After completion of the count of dry days, the data were arranged in an array from low to high for each station, for each month, for each water use rate, for the 30 years of record, and plotted on probability scale vs. arithmetic scale graph paper. The completed graphs are given in Figs. 1 through 40 in the Appendix.

Sample calculations illustrating the method used in combining data from single stations into an array for frequency analysis are given in Appendix B-3.

Method of Dividing Southwestern Kansas into Sections of Similar
Rainfall Characteristics for Grouping of Data for Greater
Statistical Validity

The Problem. In order to group the data from several stations to provide a greater number of station years of record for greater statistical validity, it was necessary to determine whether or not the entire southwestern Kansas area could be subdivided into sections of similar dry days characteristics. Stations within each subdivision could then be combined to provide a record with a greater number of station years of record.

Discussion. Records of annual precipitation, seasonal precipitation, and monthly precipitation in the "Climate of Kansas" (9) show a general decrease in normal precipitation from east to west throughout the western section of Kansas. This general decrease of precipitation from east to west might also be deduced from the fact that the mean atmospheric circulation is such that moisture from the Gulf of Mexico would be brought in greater amounts to eastern Kansas than to the western part of the state (Haurwitz and Austin, 20, Plates B and A; Plates V and IV). With this general knowledge, the problem became one of determining whether or not a comparable east to west pattern held for the dry days as used in this study.

Method. The following method of analysis was used. On sectional maps of southwestern Kansas, the percent of time

that less than one dry day occurred at a water use rate of .05 inch per day was plotted for the months of April, May, June, September and October beside each of the ten stations studied. (This information is shown on Fig. 62.) Data plotted were taken from the frequency distribution graphs for the .05 inch per day use rate from Figs. 1, 5, 9, 14, 17, 21, 25, 29, 33, and 37. Iso-lines for equal percentages were drawn for each of the months. The completed chart was then examined for suitable subdivisions of similar dry day characteristics.

Results. A general decrease in moisture from east to west could be noted from analysis of the iso-lines. No noticeable gradient could be found from south to north. The following subdivisions were selected as having similar dry day characteristics.

<u>Western Section</u>	<u>Central Section</u>	<u>Eastern Section</u>
Counties:		
Greely	West halves of: Finney	East halves of: Finney
Hamilton	Scott	Scott
Stanton	Haskell	Lane
Morton	Seward	Gray
West halves of: Wichita	East halves of: Wichita	Ford
Kearny	Kearny	Meade
Grant	Grant	
Stevens	Stevens	
Stations:		
Tribune	Garden City	Healy
Johnson	Sublette	Scott City
Richfield	Liberal	Cimmaron
		Dodge City

A map showing the division of southwestern Kansas into sections is given in Fig. 62 in the Appendix.

Since these subdivisions based on the .05 inch per day use rate, were so similar to the pattern that would be expected based on the mean circulation of the atmosphere over the area as well as from annual and seasonal precipitation patterns, the assumption was made that the dry days distribution for water use rates of .10, .20, and .30 inch per day would fall within the same subdivisions of similar rainfall characteristics.

Combination of Single Station Dry Days Frequency Data into
Frequency Data for Western, Central, and Eastern Sections of
Southwestern Kansas

The method used to combine the single station frequency analyses into frequency analyses for sections of southwestern Kansas follows the method developed by Jones (34, p. 29). The frequency with which a given number of dry days occurred for each of the stations within the section were compiled and then combined to give the probability of occurrence for the section as a whole. Appendix B-4 illustrates this procedure for the central section for the month of June for the .20 inch per day water use rate.

Data Available after Combination of Dry Days
Frequency Data by Sections

After the single station dry days frequency data had been combined into frequency data for the western, central and eastern sections of southwestern Kansas, dry days frequency data were plotted for each section, for each month, for each applicable water use rate.

BODY

Analysis of Dry Days Frequency Data for
Single Stations

The completed frequency analysis of dry days, for single stations, is presented in the graphs, Figs. 1 through 40 in the Appendix. Each of these graphs presents, for one station, the percentage probability of having a given number of dry days per month for a given water use rate for each of the months of the growing season. Table 3 illustrates some of the information that may be obtained from Fig. 10. (Garden City, Kansas, .10 inch per day water use rate.)

Table 3. Illustration of information available from Fig. 10, dry days frequency study, Garden City, Kansas, .10 inch per day water use rate.

Month	:	Percent of time less	:	Maximum number of dry
:	:	than one dry day per	:	days per month to be
:	:	month will occur	:	expected 90% of the time
:	:	:	:	:
April	:	54	:	19
May	:	51	:	21
June	:	61	:	15
July	:	54	:	20
August	:	47	:	20
September	:	51	:	20
October	:	41	:	28

Analysis of Dry Days Frequency by Sections

The completed frequency analysis of dry days by sections is presented in the graphs, Figs. 41 through 61 (Appendix). Each of the graphs presents, for the given section for the given month, the percentage probability of having a given number of dry days per month for the water use rates indicated. Table 4 illustrates some of the information that may be obtained from Fig. 43. (Dry days frequency study, eastern section of SW Kansas, June)

Table 4. Illustration of information available from Fig. 43, dry days frequency study, Eastern section of SW Kansas, June.

Use rate in. per day	Percent of time less than one dry day per month will occur	Maximum number of dry days per month to be expected 90% of the time
.05	96	0
.10	75	8
.20	21	23
.30	10	26

DISCUSSION: UTILIZATION OF DATA

General

The primary utilization of the data presented in this report lies in making an estimate of water requirements on a probability basis when the consumptive use is known, or can

be estimated. A further use of these data lies in making an estimate of the probability that natural precipitation would supply the water that could be applied by winter irrigation. An evaluation of the drought hazard to dry land crops may also be made through these data when water requirements of the crop are known.

Example 1. Making an Estimate of Irrigation Requirement on a Probability Basis when the Consumptive Use Can be Estimated

Consumptive use estimates for this example for the Garden City area are taken from Hanson and Meyer's "Irrigation Requirements Estimates for Kansas" (Hanson and Meyer, 19, pp. 8, 9).

For alfalfa, the monthly consumptive use is .85 times the monthly consumptive use factor. Thus the monthly consumptive use for alfalfa may be computed. Table 5 illustrates the computation of irrigation requirements for alfalfa for probabilities of 10, 50, and 90 percent.

This example shows seasonal irrigation requirements of 3.5, 16.3 and 27.6 inches for probabilities of 10, 50 and 90 percent, respectively. Other probabilities could be computed through a similar procedure. Frequency data from Figs. 9 through 12 in the Appendix (Dry days frequency analysis for Garden City) could also have been used in making this estimate.

Table 5. Computation of irrigation water requirements for Garden City area for crop of alfalfa for probabilities of 10, 50, and 90 percent.

Month	Monthly consump- tive factor (1)	Monthly consump- tive use, inches (2)	Number of days (3)	Average daily use rate (4)	10% Prob Dry days (5)	Irrigation requirement (6)	50% Prob Dry days (5)	Irrigation requirement (6)	90% Prob Dry days (5)	Irrigation requirement (6)
Apr. (4 days)	.64	.54	4	.135	0 $\frac{4}{30}=0$	0	0 $\frac{4}{30}=0$	0	20 $\frac{4}{30}=2.7$.36
May	6.32	5.37	31	.173	0	0	9	1.56	24.5	4.24
June	7.30	6.20	30	.21	0	0	14.5	3.05	26	5.46
July	7.97	6.77	31	.22	9	1.98	20	4.40	28	6.16
August	7.37	6.26	31	.20	7.5	1.50	20	4.00	27.5	5.50
September	5.83	4.96	30	.165	0	0	15	2.475	26	4.29
Oct. (14 days)	1.99	1.69	14	.12	0 $\frac{14}{31}=0$	0	15 $\frac{14}{31}=6.7$.80	30 $\frac{14}{31}=1.35$	1.62
Totals:		31.79				3.48		16.28		27.63

(1) Reference 19, table 2

(2) (.85)(Monthly consumptive use factor)

(3) In frost free season

(4) = (2) + (3)

(5) Dry days, from figures 48 through 54 "Dry days frequency analysis for central section of SW Kansas

(6) Irrigation requirement for month = (5)(4).

Example 2. Making an Estimate of the Probability that Natural
Precipitation Would Supply the Water that Could Be Applied By
Winter Irrigation

For this example, Hanson and Meyer's data (19, pp.8, 9) will again be used, for a crop of small grain, making the modification that the April use rate will be .05 inch per day for the entire month. Table 6 illustrates the procedure for computing the probability of having no dry days per month for each of the months. Under these conditions, natural precipitation would then supply the needs of the crop. The probability of five dry days or less per month is also given in Table 6.

Thus the probabilities of having no dry days for the months of April, May, and June are 78, 39 and 29 percent, respectively, for the water use rates indicated, for small grain in the Garden City area. The probabilities of having five dry days or less are 85, 49 and 36 percent, respectively.

Example 3. Making an Estimate on a Probability Basis of Water
Requirements for Winter Irrigation

A similar procedure may be used to make an estimate of the water that should be applied by winter irrigation to fulfill the requirements of the crop for a given percentage probability. This procedure, at the 50 percent probability level, is given in Table 7.

Thus the total winter irrigation requirement to maintain a crop of small grain during the spring season 50 percent of

Table 6. Computation of probability of having no dry days during spring months for crop of small grain in Garden City area.

Col. 1	Month	2 Monthly consumptive use factor (1)	3 Monthly consumptive use, inches (2)	4 Number of days	5 Average daily use rate (inch per day) (3)	Percent Probability (5) of no dry days at water use rates of:				Percent Probability of five dry days or less at use rates of:			
						.05 inch per day	.10 inch per day	.20 inch per day	Interpolated for use rate indicated in column 5	.05 inch per day	.10 inch per day	.20 inch per day	Interpolated for use rate indicated in column 5
April	-	-	30	.05	78(4)	-	-	29	78	85	-	-	85
May	6.32	4.74	31	.15	-	48	29	39	39	-	60	38	49
June	7.30	5.48	30	.18	-	55	12	29	29	-	75	27	36

(1) Reference 19, table 2.

(2) = (.75)(monthly consumptive use factor)

(3) = (2) + number of days in month

(4) Estimated at 0.05 inch per day for April.

(5) Values taken from figures 9 through 12, single station dry days frequency data for Garden City.

Table 7. Computation of winter irrigation requirements,
on 50 percent probability basis, for small grain,
for Garden City area.

Col.	Month	Dry days to be expected(2) 50 percent of time for use rates of				Irrigation requirement(3)
		Use rate, inch per day(1)	.10 inch per day	.20 inch per day	Interpolated for use rate indicated in column 2.	
1		2	3	4	5	6
April		.05			0	0
May		.15	0	11	5.5	.82
June		.18	0	15	12	<u>2.16</u>
Total:						2.98

(1) From Table 6, column 5, example 2, preceding.

(2) Values taken from figures 9 through 12, single station dry days frequency study for Garden City.

(3) Irrigation requirement = column 5 X column 2.

the time, for the Garden City area, is 2.98 inches. Irrigation requirements on a different probability basis could be determined in a similar manner.

Example 4. An Evaluation of the Drought Hazard to
Dry Land Crops

Data from example 2 (Table 6) may also be used to illustrate a method of evaluating the drought hazard to dry land crops. Using the probability figures of 85, 49, and 36 percent for the probabilities of having five dry days or less for small grain in the spring season of April, May, and June, respectively, in the Garden City area, the product of these percentage probabilities becomes the probability of passing through all three months with less than five dry days in each.¹

Thus, $(.85)(.49)(.36) = .150$, or 15.0 percent of the time there would be sufficient natural precipitation to fill the needs of the crop of small grain during these months so that not more than five dry days would occur in each month.

A similar calculation, $(.78)(.39)(.29) = .088$ or 9 percent of the time there would be sufficient natural precipitation

¹This assumes that the dry days frequency data for each month is independent of the other months. This theorem is stated in a standard algebra text as follows: "If the probability of success...of a first event is p_1 , and if after this event has resulted in success..., the probability of success...of a second event is p_2 , the probability that both events will result in success...in the order stated is $p_1 p_2$...Note: (this theorem) and its proof can be extended to more than two events." Joseph B. Rosenbach and Edwin A. Whitman, College Algebra, Revised Edition, p. 354.

to meet the need of the crop with no dry days throughout the spring growing season.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

Correlation of Dry Days to Average Monthly Precipitation and Application to Other Areas

It may be noted that the frequency distribution curves presented in this study, Figs. 1 through 61, all have a similar characteristic shape. When plotted on arithmetic vs. probability graph paper, a characteristic curve rises upward to the right as nearly a straight line from a small number of dry days, and then at a higher probability, becomes asymptotic to the horizontal line representing the total number of days in the month.

Because of this characteristic shape, it would seem reasonable that the dry days frequency as used in this study, could be correlated to a more commonly used and readily available value, such as the average monthly precipitation. If such a correlation could be made it would be possible to extend the dry days frequency data, available in this study, over a limited area, to other areas outside the boundaries of this study. Such a correlation would have the added advantage of a considerably smaller amount of time required than that required for the type of frequency analysis presented in this study.

Relation of Irrigation Equipment Design to Dry Days Frequency

It was not the purpose of this study to attempt an analysis of irrigation equipment and costs. It is pointed out, however, that the dry days frequency analysis, as presented in this study, provides information upon which to make reasonable estimates of the irrigation requirements of crops on a probability basis, within the southwestern Kansas area. A comparison of cost of irrigation equipment with sufficient capacity for providing sufficient water for a probability of, say, 90 percent, may show little significant increase in cost over a system designed to provide adequate protection on a 50 percent probability basis. Likewise, the cost of a system designed for the 90 percent frequency level may show a significant economy over the system designed for the 100 percent (no rain) frequency. Further study along these lines should be of value in selecting a best design for a given unit.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

This study has presented a method for analysis of drought and precipitation frequencies as related to crop water use rates.

Through a "bank account" procedure, the number of moisture deficient "dry days" for crop water use rates of .05, .10, .20, and/or .30 inch per day was determined for the growing season in southwestern Kansas. These data were combined

into a frequency array for each of ten individual stations, and is presented in Figs. 1 through 40 in the Appendix.

Data from individual stations were combined into sections of similar rainfall characteristics and are presented in a frequency array in Figs. 41 through 61.

Examples showing how this data may be utilized, and recommendations for further study, are presented.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Professor F. C. Fenton, major instructor, whose aid and council assisted in the preparation of this thesis. Thanks are due to Mr. Martin Polhemus of the Omaha District Office of the U. S. Corps of Engineers, for his assistance in providing parts of the basic climatological data used in this study. Particular acknowledgement is due to my wife, Mrs. Elaine Schleusener, for her assistance in compilation and preparation of the material presented herein.

REFERENCES

- (1) Allred, E. R., and R. Chen
Evaluating irrigation needs in humid areas. Agr.
Engg. Journal, Sept., 1953, 34 (9): 611-615.
- (2) Allis, John A.
Results of rainfall and runoff studies. Agr. Engg.
Jour., Oct., 1950, 31:518-524.
- (3) Barger, G. L. and H. C. S. Thom
A method for characterizing drought intensity in Iowa.
Agron. Jour., Jan., 1949, 41 (1):13-19.
- (4) Barger, G. L. and H. C. S. Thom
Evaluation of drought hazard. Agron. Jour., Nov., 1949,
41 (11):519-526.
- (5) Blaney, H. F.
Irrigation requirements of crops. Agr. Engg. Jour.,
Dec., 1951, 32 (12):665-668.
- (6) Blaney, H. F., and W. O. Criddle
Determining water requirements in irrigated areas from
climatological and irrigation data. U. S. Dept. Agric.,
Soil Conservation Service, Div. of Irrig., and Water
Cons., Tech. Bul. 96. 1950. 44 p. illus.
- (7) Chow, Ven Te.
Frequency analysis of hydrologic data with special
application to rainfall intensities. Univ. Ill. Engg.
Exp. Sta. Bul. Series No. 44, Vol. 50, No. 81. July, 1953.
80 p.
- (8) Christiansen, J. E.
Irrigation in relation to food production. Agr. Engg.
Jour., June, 1953, 34 (6):400-406.
- (9) Climate of Kansas. Rpt. of Kan. State Board of Agr.,
Topeka, 67 (285). 1948. 320 p.
- (10) Climatological Data-Kansas Section. USWB, 1923-52 incl.
- (11) Deep well irrigation in the Oklahoma panhandle. Pan-
handle Agricultural and Mechanical College, Goodwell,
Okla., Bul. 64, 1944. 24 p.
- (12) Dingle, A. N.
Agricultural Meteorology: an introduction. Agr. Engg.
Jour., April, 1947, 28 (4):151-153.

- (13) Fortier, Samuel, and Arthur A. Young
Irrigation requirements of the arid and semiarid lands
of the Missouri and Arkansas River Basins. U. S. Dept.
of Agr., Bureau of Public Roads, Div. of Agr. Engg.,
Tech. Bul. 36. 1928. 112 p., illus.
- (14) Fortier, Samuel
Evaporation losses in irrigation and water requirements
of crops. U. S. Dept. of Agr. O. E. S. Bul. 177. 1907.
64 p., illus.
- (15) Foster, E. E.
Rainfall and runoff. New York: Macmillan, 1948. 487 p.
- (16) Frye, J. C., and V. C. Fishel
Ground water in southwestern Kansas. State Geological
Survey of Kansas, Uni. of Kansas, Lawrence. 1949. 24 p.
- (17) Growth of irrigation in Scott County, Kansas. Rpt. of
Kan. State Board of Agr., Topeka, 63 (262), 1944. 31 p.
- (18) Hamilton, F. B., and J. F. Schrunck
Sprinkler vs. gravity irrigation, a basis for choice
of the best systems. Agr. Engg. Jour., May, 1953,
34 (4):246-250.
- (19) Hanson, R. E., and W. R. Meyer
Irrigation requirements estimates for Kansas. Kansas
State College Bul. Engr. Exp. Sta. Bul. No.69. June, 1953.
- (20) Haurwitz, B., and J. M. Austin
Climatology. New York and London: McGraw Hill Book Co.,
Inc., 1944. 410 p.
- (21) Hoyt, J. C.
Drought of 1936, with discussion of the significance
of drought in relation to climate. U. S. Geol. Survey
Water Supply Paper 772. 1936. 301 p.
- (22) Hulburt, W. C.
Drought periods in Kansas as related to supplemental
irrigation. M. Sci. thesis, Kansas State College, Man-
hattan, 1935. 61 p.
- (23) Hydrology. Physics of the Earth - IX, ed by Meinzer,
O. E. New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1949. 712 p.
- (24) Irrigation pumping plants. Report of Kan. State Board
of Agr., Topeka, 39 (154), 1920. 32 p.

- (25) Irrigation survey of Kansas. Unpublished report,
Extension Service, Kansas State College, Manhattan,
Dec., 1948. 3 p.
- (26) Israelsen, O. W.
The historic background of reclamation. Agr. Engg.
Jour., June, 1951, 32: 321-324.
- (27) Israelsen, O. W.
Irrigation principles and practices. Second ed.
New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1950. 405 p. illus.
- (28) Kincer, J. B.
Study of weather records fails to reveal long range
cycles. Engg. News-Rec., 113, 46. 1934.
- (29) Kramer, H. A.
A study of absolute humidity conditions in the Gulf
Coast rice area. Agr. Engg. Jour., Dec., 1951, 32
(12):677-678.
- (30) Krimgold, D. B.
Determining time and amount of irrigation. Agr. Engg.
Jour., Nov., 1952, 33(11):705-706.
- (31) Land, W. B., and J. R. Carreker
Results of evapo-transpiration and root distribution
studies. Agr. Engg. Jour., May, 1953, 34(5):319-322.
- (32) Manson, P. W. and C. O. Rost.
Farm drainage, an important conservation practice.
Agr. Engg. Jour., June, 1951, 32 (6):325-327.
- (33) Meade, D. W.
Hydrology. Second Ed. New York and London: McGraw-
Hill Book Co., Inc., 1950. 728 p.
- (34) Notes on the Hydrology of Kansas. Eng. Bul. No. 20.
Univ. of Kan., Lawrence, 37(20), 1936. 175 p.
- (35) Possibilities of shelter-belt planting in the Plains
region, U. S. Forest Service, 1935. 201 p.
- (36) Rouse, H. K.
Dependable precipitation. Agr. Engg. Jour., April,
1948, 29 (4):163-164.
- (37) Schleusener, R. A.
Study of irrigation engineering practices in Kansas.
Unpublished progress report, Eng. Exp. Sta. Research
project 203, Kansas State College, Manhattan. 1950.

- (38) Sprinkler Irrigation. U. S. Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. U. S. Govt. Printing office, 1949. 61 p.
- (39) Tomlinson, D. R.
Comparison of two methods of estimating consumptive use of water. Agr. Engg. Jour., July, 1953, 34 (7): 459-460.
- (40) van Bavel, C. H. M., and T. V. Wilson
Evapotranspiration estimates as criteria for determining time of irrigation. Agr. Engg. Jour., July, 1952, 33 (7):417-420.
- (41) Water in Kansas; A report to the Kansas State Legislature, as directed by the Kansas State Finance Council.
Prepared by the Water Resources Board fact finding and research committee. Lawrence, 1954. 216 p., illus.
- (42) Wood, I. D.
Pumping for irrigation. U. S. Dept. Agr. Tech. Bul. 89. Washington, D. C., 1950.
- (43) Willet, H. C.
Descriptive Meteorology. New York: Academic Press, Inc., 1944. 310 p.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - DEFINITIONS

1. Water Requirements: The quantity of water, regardless of its source, required by a crop in a given period of time, for its normal growth under field conditions. This includes surface evaporation and other economically unavoidable wastes, usually expressed in depth (volume per unit area) for a given time. (American Society of Agricultural Engineers.)

2. Consumptive use, or evapo-transpiration: The sum of the volumes of water used by the vegetative growth of a given area in transpiration or building of plant tissue, and that evaporated from adjacent soil, snow, or intercepted precipitation on the area in any specified time, divided by the given area. (American Society of Agricultural Engineers.)

3. Dry Day: One day in which the soil moisture reservoir had no available moisture for plant growth. Thus two dry days would represent a deficit of twice the daily crop water use rate, three dry days a deficit of three times the daily water use rate, etc. For example, three dry days at a crop water use rate of 0.10 inch per day would represent an accumulated moisture deficit of .30 inch; or at a water use rate of .05 inch per day, a deficit of .15 inch.

APPENDIX B. SAMPLE CALCULATIONS AND PROCEDURES

Appendix B-1 Sample Calculations Illustrating
Method of Counting Dry Days

Station: Garden City

Year: 1929-1930

Water use rate: .10 inch per day

1. Sum of precipitation, January through March, 1930 = 0.68 in.
2. Sum of precipitation, November and December, 1929 = 2.26 in.
3. Total "winter" precipitation (1 + 2) 2.94 in.
4. 50 percent of winter precipitation 1.47 in.
5. Carryover of available soil moisture from October, 1929. (Computed in same manner as carryover from October, 1930, below) 1.2 in.
6. Sum of item 4 plus item 5. (This is the computed amount of available soil moisture as of 1 April 1930.) 2.67 in.
7. Using the "bank account" procedure, count dry day periods from daily precipitation amounts as follows: (See Appendix B-2 for daily rainfall amounts)

a. As of 1 April, 2.67 inches of moisture were available in the soil moisture "bank account". Rounding this value off to the nearest 0.10 inch gives 2.7 inches available.

b. By 15 April, at 0.10 inch per day use rate, 1.5 inches would have been used and $2.7 - 1.5 = 1.2$ inches would remain in the "bank account".

c. On 16 April, 0.27 inch of rain fell. Rounding out to the nearest 0.10 inch gives 0.30 inch. Assuming crop use of

0.10 inch per day leaves 0.20 inch to add to the soil moisture bank account. Total amount stored at the end of 16 April would then be $1.2 + 0.2 = 1.4$ inches.

d. In a similar manner, at the end of 17 April, 1.6 inches would be in the soil moisture "bank account".

e. From 18 through 25 April, $8 \times 0.10 = 0.80$ inch of water would be used at 0.10 inch use rate. Balance remaining would be $1.6 - 0.80 = 0.80$ inch remaining in storage.

f. At the end of 30 April, 1.3 inches would be stored in the soil moisture "account".

g. This procedure is summarized in the tabulation below. For April, at the 0.10 inch per day use rate, there were no separate dry day periods; total dry days for the month was zero; and 1.3 inches of moisture was available for carryover at the end of the month.

h. A similar process shows that July had three dry day periods of 1, 2, and 4 days each, totaling seven dry days for the month. Sufficient precipitation occurred, however, to leave 1.2 inches available in storage for carryover at the end of the month of July.

Month	Separate dry days periods (days)	Total dry days for month	Carryover at end of month, inches
April	0	0	1.3
May	0	0	1.9
June	0	0	0.6
July	1, 2, 4	7	1.2
Aug.	2, 5, 1, 2	10	0.3
Sept.	0	0	0.6
Oct.	0	0	4.1

8. Carryover of soil moisture at the end of October: 4.1 in.
9. This is a sample computation for one year only. A similar computation was performed for each of the thirty years of record 1923 through 1952.

Appendix B-2 Garden City Precipitation Amounts, 1930.

	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.
1		.15	.10				T
2		.15				T	T
3						.38	1.55
4		1.18	.17				1.47
5			1.18			.40	.37
6						.93	
7				.17		.06	
8				.05			
9						1.52	
10		.10		.12			
11		.34					2.00
12							1.03
13				.41			T
14		.05			.13		.07
15		.90			.17		
16	.27	.16					
17	.27	.20	.06				
18	T	.07			.03		
19			.12				
20							T
21				.73			T
22				.38	.06		T
23				.30			
24					.25		
25				.41			
26	.62						
27							
28	.03						
29		.15	T		.29		
30	.38	T			.19		
31				.41			
Total:	1.57	3.45	1.63	2.98	1.12	3.29	6.49

Monthly Precipitation Amounts, Winter Season

Month	Total
Jan.	.50
Feb.	.18
March	T
Nov.	2.05 (1929)
Dec.	.21 (1929)

Appendix B-3 Sample Calculations Illustrating the Method Used
in Combining Single Station Data into an Array for
Frequency Analysis

(Garden City; Month of June; .10 in. per day, use rate)

Array of Data - Low to High

Year	June dry days ⁽¹⁾	Order No.	Dry Days ⁽¹⁾	Plotting point, % ⁽²⁾
1923	0	1	0	1.67
1924	0	2	0	5.00
1925	0	3	0	8.33
1926	5	4	0	11.66
1927	1	5	0	15.00
1928	0	6	0	18.33
1929	0	7	0	21.67
1930	0	8	0	25.00
1931	0	9	0	28.33
1932	3	10	0	31.67
1933	16	11	0	35.00
1934	16	12	0	38.33
1935	5	13	0	41.67
1936	0	14	0	45.00
1937	0	15	0	48.33
1938	7	16	0	51.67
1939	20	17	0	55.00
1940	0	18	0	58.33
1941	0	19	1	61.67
1942	0	20	3	65.00
1943	4	21	3	68.33
1944	0	22	4	71.67
1945	3	23	5	75.00
1946	0	24	5	78.33
1947	0	25	7	81.66
1948	14	26	14	85.00
1949	0	27	14	88.33
1950	14	28	16	91.67
1951	0	29	16	95.00
1952	0	30	20	98.33

(1) Determined as in Appendix B-2

(2) Plotting point: Plotted at midpoint. Since total
record = 30 years, 1 year = $100 \div 30 = 3.33\%$.

Appendix B-4 Sample Calculations Showing Procedure for Combination of Single Station Dry Days Frequency Analyses into Sectional Dry Days Frequency Analysis

Central Section of SW Kansas, month of June, .20 inch per day use rate.

Z	Dry Days Per mo.	f = Frequency of occurrence			(cum f) _Z Cum.Freq.	(cum f) _Z / (cum f) _{Z-1}	Pa, plotting pt.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	0	4	6	4	14	14	08
2	1	1	1		16	30	17
3	2	1		1	18	34	19
4	3	1			19	37	21
5	4	1	1	1	22	41	23
6	5		1		23	45	25
7	6	1	3	1	28	51	28
8	7		1	1	30	58	32
9	8	1		1	32	62	34
10	9	1		1	34	66	37
11	10	1		2	37	71	40
12	11		1		39	76	42
13	12	1		2	42	81	45
14	13		1		43	85	47
15	14	1	1	2	47	90	50
16	15	2	1		50	97	54
17	16	0	0	0	50	100	56
18	17		1	3	54	104	58
19	18	2	1	1	58	112	62
20	19			1	59	117	65
21	20	1	2	1	63	122	68
22	21	2			65	128	71
23	22	1	3		69	134	75
24	23	2		1	72	141	78
25	24	4	2	1	79	151	84
26	25		2	2	83	162	90
27	26	1		1	85	168	93.3
28	27			1	86	171	95
29	28				86	172	95.5
30	29		1	2	89	175	97.3
31	30		1		90	179	99.4

- (1) Order number
- (2) Determined by the method illustrated in Appendix B-1 and B-2
- (3) For Garden City
- (4) For Liberal
- (5) For Sublette
- (6) Sum of col (3) / (4) / (5).

(7) $P_a = \text{col } 7 + 180 = \text{percent probability of having given number of dry days per month.}$

Note: This system of preparing data for plotting is a simplified version of that presented by Jones (32, page 29).

Following Jones notation,

$Z = \text{order number.}$

$f = \text{frequency of occurrence of the given phenomena, in this case the frequency of a given number of dry days per month.}$

$(\text{cum } f)_Z = \text{accumulated frequency of occurrence from } Z = 0 \text{ to } Z = Z.$

$(\text{cum } f)_{Z-1} = \text{accumulated frequency of occurrence from } Z = 0 \text{ to } Z = Z - 1.$

$N = \text{total frequency} = \sum f.$

Then, by Jones definition,

$$n = \frac{(\text{cum } f)_Z \nearrow (\text{cum } f)_{Z-1} \nearrow 1}{2}$$

and $P_a = \frac{2n - 1}{2N}$, where P_a is probability for plotting on probability graph paper.


Combining the equations for n and P_a yields the following relation


$$P_a = \frac{2n - 1}{2N} = \frac{2 \left[\frac{(\text{cum } f)_Z \nearrow (\text{cum } f)_{Z-1} \nearrow 1}{2} \right] - 1}{2N}$$


$$P_a = \frac{(\text{cum } f)_Z \nearrow (\text{cum } f)_{Z-1}}{2N}$$

This is the simplified equation used in calculating P_a in this study.

APPENDIX C. FIGURES










Fig. 4. Dry days frequency for Cimmaron. Water use rate .30" per day.





Fig. 5. Dry days frequency for Dodge City. Water use rate .05" per day.






Fig. 7. Dry days frequency for Dodge City. Water use rate .20" per day.







Fig. 8. Dry days frequency for Dodge City. Water use rate .30" per day.










Fig. 12. Dry days frequency for Garden City. Water use rate .30" per day.





Fig. 13. Dry days frequency for Healy. Water use rate .05" per day







Fig. 15. Dry days frequency for Healy. Water use rate .20" per day.








Fig. 17 Dry days frequency for Johnson Station, water use rate .05" per day









Fig. 20. Dry days frequency for Johnson. Water use rate .30" per day.






Fig. 22. Dry days frequency for Liberal. Water use rate *.10" per day.







Fig. 23. Dry days frequency for Liberal. Water use rate .20" per day.












Fig. 27. Dry days frequency for Richfield. Water use rate .20" per day.












Fig. 30.. Dry days frequency for Scott City. Water use rate .10" per day.












Fig. 35. Dry days frequency for Sublette. Water use rate .20" per day




Fig. 36. Dry days frequency for Sublette. Water use rate .30" per day.





Fig. 37. Dry days frequency for Tribune. Water use rate .05" per day.







Fig. 39. Dry days frequency for Tribune. Water use rate .20" per day.










Fig. 41. Dry days frequency for eastern section of SW Kansas, April















Fig. 46. Dry days frequency for eastern section of SW Kansas, September.












Fig. 50. Dry days frequency for central section of SW Kansas, June.








Fig. 53. Dry days frequency for central section of SW Kansas, September.








Fig. 54. Dry days frequency for central section of SW Kansas, October.













Fig. 59. Dry days frequency for western section of SW Kansas, August.









Fig. 61. Dry days frequency for western section of SW Kansas, October.




APRIL




MAY




JUNE



SEPTEMBER



OCTOBER



Division of area into sections, based on similar dry days characteristics.

Iso-lines are percent of time less than one dry day occurs at water use rate of .05 inch per day. Data for individual stations obtained from figures 1, 5, 9, 14, 17, 21, 25, 29, 33, and 37.

Fig. 62. Analysis of SW Kansas for areas of similar dry days characteristics.

PRECIPITATION AND DROUGHT FREQUENCIES FOR SOUTHWESTERN
KANSAS AS RELATED TO VARIOUS CROP WATER USE RATES

by

RICHARD AUGUST SCHLEUSENER

B. S., University of Nebraska, 1949

AN ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Agricultural Engineering

KANSAS STATE COLLEGE
OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE

1956

Variability of precipitation amounts and frequency is present to a high degree in the state of Kansas. Because of this variability, average values of precipitation amounts or moisture deficient periods are inadequate to analyze correctly precipitation and drought frequencies in the Kansas area. A more complete analysis is given by a frequency analysis. The purpose of this study was to analyze precipitation records from a section of southwestern Kansas, to obtain a frequency distribution of moisture deficient periods as related to various crop water use rates.

The drought period used in this study was a "dry day", defined as a day in which the soil moisture reservoir had no available moisture for plant growth. The total moisture deficit was then equal to the number of "dry days" multiplied by the crop water use rate.

Sixteen counties in the southwestern section of Kansas were selected for study. Ten stations were selected from the area, based on uniform coverage of the area, and adequate length of precipitation records.

A "bank account procedure" was followed wherein crop water use rates of .05, .10, .20, and/or .30 inch per day were assumed to be drawing on the soil moisture "account." Natural precipitation was credited to the available moisture in the soil moisture account. The number of "dry days" in which no moisture was available in the soil moisture "account" was noted for each month of the growing season for thirty

years of record, 1923 through 1952. The results were prepared in the form of graphs plotted as percent probability vs. number of dry days per month for each station for each applicable water use rate and for each of the months of the growing season.

Data from the ten individual stations were then combined. Analysis of data showed that, based on similar "dry days" characteristics, the entire southwestern Kansas area could be subdivided west to east into three similar sections. Data from all stations within each section were then combined to form a record based on a greater number of station years. Data from each section were then prepared in the form of graphs plotted as percent probability vs. number of dry days per month for each month, for each applicable crop water use rate.

The frequency of dry days per month are presented in graphical form for each of the ten stations selected for study, for each month, for each applicable water use rate.

Similar graphs are given for each section of the southwestern Kansas area.

Examples are given of how these data may be used. They may be used for making an estimate of irrigation requirements, on a probability basis, when the crop consumptive use can be estimated. They may be used in making an estimate of the probability that natural precipitation would supply the water that could be applied by winter irrigation. They may be used

for making an estimate, on a probability basis, of water requirements for winter irrigation. Finally, they may be used in making an evaluation of the drought hazard to dry land crops.